

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).   F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,831 07/11/2001		07/11/2001	Thomas J. Maginot	22220-06167	1578
758	7590	09/13/2005		EXAMINER	
	K & WES' VALLEY (		PREBILIC, PAUL B		
	FORNIA S		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MOUNTA	IN VIEW,	CA 94041	3738		
				DATE MAIL ED. 00/12/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0	N
X	P

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/903,831	MAGINOT, THOMAS J.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Paul B. Prebilic	3738		

Advisory Action	09/903,831 MAGINOT, THOMAS J.		3 J.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul B. Prebilic	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI		•	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in comments and the reply much that the re	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  2.   The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comparison.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: 108,114,140,164,165,425-432 and 434	<u>1-436</u> .		•
Claim(s) objected to: <u>82 and 84</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>70, 71, 75-78, 80, 81, 85, 86, 90-96, 9</u>	8-102. 104-107. 109. 117-119. 121-	-130-139, 142-144.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	it hafara ar on the data of filing a N	ation of Annual will no	t ha antarad
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a 1).
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		Pault	re lu
		Paul B. Pr Primary Ex	aminer

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments would require further consideration and/or search in that they change the claims' scopes substantially.